1 [DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK PART D-35
3	X
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, :CASE NO.
5	Petitioner, :20118U007884
6	- against -
7	THOMAS MOROUGHAN,
8	Defendant. :
9	X
10	June 6, 2011 Central Islip, New York
11	
12	BEFORE:
13	HON. GAETAN LOZITO
14	District Court Judge
15	APPEARANCES:
16	
17	THOMAS SPOTA, ESQ. District Attorney - Suffolk County
18	For the People of the State of New York BY: RAPHAEL PEARL, ESQ.
19	Assistant District Attorney
20	
21	THE LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM PETRILLO 11 Clinton Avenue
22	Rockville Centre, New York 11570 BY: WILLIAM PETRILLO, ESQ.
23	Attorney for the Defendant
24	
25	Susan T. Connors, RPR Senior Court Reporter

1	THE CLERK: 42, Thomas Moroughan.
2	THE COURT: Second call.
3	Mr. Petrillo and Mr. Pearl are in
4	the hallway.
5	Second call.
6	(WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN)
7	THE CLERK: This is 42,
8	Thomas Moroughan.
9	MR. PEARL: May we approach?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	(WHEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD
12	OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY BETWEEN
13	THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY,
14	MR. PEARL, DEFENSE COUNSEL, MR. PETRILLO,
15	AND THE HON. GAETAN LOZITO)
16	THE COURT: Sir, would you step up,
17	please.
18	(WHEREUPON, THE DEFENDANT,
19	THOMAS MOROUGHAN, IS PRESENT IN THE
20	COURTROOM)
21	THE COURT: People.
22	MR. PEARL: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	Your Honor, at this time under
24	Docket 2011SU007884 The People have an
25	application to dismiss the charges against

Mr. Moroughan in the interest of justice pursuant to -- excuse me, Your Honor 170.30 F.

Judge, just for the record, a review of the evidence discloses a significant deficiency in the proof which would impact our ability to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of the charges currently pending against the defendant -- I'm sorry, I'm just out of breath -- those being Reckless Endangerment as a Class A Misdemeanor and Assault in the Second Degree as a Class D Felony.

There is conflicting evidence surrounding the facts and circumstances which led to the discharge of a firearm by off duty Police Officer Anthony DiLeonardo from Nassau County, as well as the actions of both parties thereafter.

There is evidence to support the fact that defendant cabdriver escalated the confrontation by pursuing the vehicle operated by the off duty police officers, stopping to initiate an angry verbal

exchange and subsequentially exiting his vehicle to confront the officers on the street.

There is also evidence to support the fact that the defendant withdrew from the confrontation by retreating to his vehicle and attempted to drive away from the location. In his haste to withdraw, he was moving his vehicle forward at the time the shots were fired.

There is also evidence that the officers involved had been consuming alcoholic beverages prior to the shooting. And that Officer DiLeonardo refused to provide a sample of his blood and urine to hospital personnel who were treating his injuries following the incident.

While there is evidence to prove the officer consumed alcohol prior to discharging the firearm, there is conflicting evidence to prove he was legally intoxicated, so it negatively impacts upon the officer's credibility upon whose testimony the charges are based. That undermines The People's

People -v- Thomas Moroughan

ability to obtain a conviction beyond a reasonable doubt.

Further, the scientific evidence, specifically, trajectory and reconstruction analysis, which has been turned over to Mr. Petrillo today, provides some support for the position of both The People and the defendant, but it is not in and of itself dispositive of the ultimate issues.

The defendant suffered from two non-life threatening gunshot wounds and a broken nose as a result of the conflict with Officer DiLeonardo. The officer suffered minor injury, the most serious being a cut to his finger, which he caused by breaking the driver's side window of the cab with the butt of his gun in order to arrest the defendant. That action also caused the defendant's broken nose.

In addition, the defense has represented that the defendant does not wish to testify before a grand jury or to participate in any criminal proceeding regarding the events of that night.

1	We have attempted to speak with the
2	defendant's girlfriend who was who was
3	a passenger in the cab at the time of the
4	shooting, but she has declined to speak
5	with us.
6	Our investigative findings have
7	been made available to the Nassau County
8	Police Department and their Internal
9	Affairs Bureau.
10	Therefore, following the
11	acknowledgment of counsel and his joining
12	in the application, The People would be
13	moving to dismiss the charges against the
14	defendant pursuant to 130.70.
15	MR. PETRILLO: F.
16	For Mr. Moroughan,
17	William Petrillo, 111 Clinton Avenue,
18	Rockville Center.
19	Good morning, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Good morning.
21	MR. PETRILLO: Your Honor, I would
22	first like to thank the Suffolk County
23	District Attorney's Office for their

investigation into this case. And, quite

frankly, for correcting the injustice that

17.

People -v- Thomas Moroughan

took place to Mr. Moroughan back on February 27th when he was, quite frankly, arrested without any basis to do so. So we thank them for their expeditious investigation and for correcting this injustice.

Umm, we do agree, Judge, that the case should be dismissed pursuant to 170.50 Subdivision F, which calls for a legal impediment.

It is our position in this case,

Judge, that as The People stated, there is
insufficient evidence to prove the case
beyond a reasonable doubt. The legal
impediment here is that Mr. Moroughan is
actually innocent in this case.

It has been our position from day one that on the day in question

Mr. Moroughan did not commit any crime.

There was never a basis to have arrested him in the first place. And he is completely innocent.

We do not agree with all of the findings of fact as stated or as interpreted by The People.

People -v- Thomas Moroughan

I will acknowledge on the record,

Judge, that we do agree that at the time
the shots were fired Mr. Moroughan was
moving forward in his -- in his taxicab.

He was not moving at anybody, he was not
intending to hit anybody, he was not
acting reckless, and he was not acting
with criminal negligence, he was simply
moving forward, endangering no one. And,
quite frankly, fleeing for his life in an
effort to get out of there.

I believe it's undisputed in this case, Judge, that at the time the two shots were fired at Mr. Moroughan the off duty officers had not even identified themselves yet at that point.

The People in their findings of fact talk about an alleged verbal confrontation and Mr. Moroughan allegedly escalating a confrontation. We do disagree with those findings of fact,

Judge, as they do ignore the series of traffic incidents that took place prior to that with the off duty officer. The one who The People say there is conflicting

б

People -v- Thomas Moroughan

evidence of intoxication. The one who
The People say refused to provide blood
and urine. He was driving -- a
conflicting series of traffic incidents,
it's our position caused by and escalated
by the off duty police officer.

Mr. Moroughan, in our position, did not escalate anything, and was reacting to what was happening to him.

The People did speak about an alleged butt of the gun. And it's true, Mr. Moroughan suffered some injuries as a result of being pistol whipped and hit with the butt of a gun, but it was not in an effort to perform any sort of lawful arrest.

I also will acknowledge for the record that I have advised Mr. Moroughan that this case is being dismissed. That he — that there is no need to be testifying before a grand jury or participating in any criminal proceedings.

And I have let the Suffolk County
District Attorney's Office know that as
well.

1	So in the end, Judge, we agree that
2	the case should be dismissed pursuant to
3	170.30 F. That the legal impediment is
4	his actual innocence. That there was
5	never a basis or probable cause for the
6	arrest in the first place.
7	And we join in the application to
8	dismiss the case for those reasons.
9	THE COURT: For the reasons
10	MR. PEARL: Judge, just so the
11	record is clear, while there might be a
12	legal impediment, The People are not
13	joining in that application of actual
14	innocence.
15	People are relying on our statement
16	on the record.
17	THE COURT: The People's
18	application to dismiss pursuant to Section
19	170.30 Subdivision F for the reasons
20	stated in the record by The People is
21	granted.
22	MR. PEARL: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	MR. PETRILLO: Thank you,
24	Your Honor.
25	Have a nice day.

1	* *
2	
3	I, Susan T. Connors, Senior Court Reporter,
4	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
5	correct transcript of the County Court proceedings
6	regarding The People of the State of New York -v-
7	Thomas Moroughan, held on June 6, 2011, at Central
8	Islip, New York.
9	
10	Dated: 10/4/11 Juna T. Connors
11	Susan T. Connors, RPR Senior Court Reporter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25